

Execution Version for all Regulatory Parties, including the OPA and Fair Point

## Post Filing Regulatory Settlement · Maine

This Regulatory Settlement (the "Regulatory Settlement") represents the understandings reached among the representative of the Maine Public Utilities Commission (the "Commission") as the representative is described in the order of the United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of New York (the ' Bankruptcy Court") entered on January 20, 2010 (the "Order") and subject to the terms of such Order (the "Representative"), the Maine Office of the Public Advocate (the "OPA") (the Representative and the OPA being referred to jointly herein as the "Regulatory Parties"), and FairPoint Communications, Inc. and Northern New England Telephone Operations LLC, d/b/a FairPoint Communications-NNE ("FairPoint"), together with the Regulatory Parties (the "Parties") in connection with the mediation ordered by the Bankruptcy Court, and which shall also apply in any change of control proceeding related to FairPoint's emergence from Chapter 11. This Regulatory Settlement is subject to and qualified by the Order. Without limiting the effect of that Order, the Representative only has authority to recommend matters for approval by the Commission, after notice and a hearing before the Commission in accordance with Maine law. Whenever this Regulatory Settlement states or indicates that the Representative agrees with and/or will recommend a particular proposal, or words to that effect or of similar import, such terms will not imply any greater authority in the Representative and all such terms shall mean only that the Representative will recommend a term or condition for approval and no such agreement and/or recommendation shall bind (a) the Commission or (b) the advisory staff of the Commission.

## 1. Process Issues:

- 1.1. The Regulatory Parties will request that the Commission approve the terms set forth in this Regulatory Settlement with FairPoint, as discussed more fully below. FairPoint and the Regulatory Parties (collectively, "the Parties") agree that the Regulatory Settlement will be implemented through FairPoint's Chapter 11 Reorganization Plan (the "Plan") and through appropriate proceedings before the Commission, including, without limitation, any change of control proceeding. FairPoint acknowledges that a change of control proceeding will be filed with the Commission in conjunction with the application to approve this Regulatory Settlement. The Parties agree further that the terms set forth in this Regulatory Settlement shall be the only terms sought by the Parties with respect to one another with respect to the Plan and its confirmation and any change of control or other appropriate proceeding and that such terms shall be binding on the Parties (subject to the reservations of rights set forth in Section 1.5), only once the terms of this Regulatory Settlement are approved by the Commission and upon the Effective Date of the Plan (as therein defined).
- The Regulatory Parties shall recommend that all regulatory approvals that will be requested 1.2. of the Commission in connection with the Plan will be processed by the Commission contemporaneously with the Bankruptcy Court's consideration of the Plan and will be granted by the Commission substantially contemporaneous with (or in advance of) the Bankruptcy Court's confirmation of the Plan or such later date as may be agreed to by FairPoint and the Regulatory Parties. The Parties shall request and recommend that such approvals incorporate the terms of this Regulatory Settlement and not include additional substantive new conditions, other than the condition that the Commission's approval may be rescinded, after notice and opportunity to be heard, if the Bankruptcy Court's confirmation order alters any of the terms of the Regulatory Settlement. Subject to the right of the Parties under Section 1.4, the Parties shall not request that the Bankruptcy Court incorporate any provisions in the confirmation order that alter or modify the Regulatory Settlement, except upon the express written consent of all of the Parties, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld. The requested approval will include approval for the change of control contemplated by the Plan which will occur on the Effective Date of the Plan.
- 1.3. The Regulatory Parties will file a proposed procedural schedule for Commission approval. This procedural schedule shall recommend that any change of control and Regulatory

Execution Version for all Regulatory Partles, Including the OPA and FairPoint

Settlement approval proceeding be concluded and be ripe for a Commission decision no later than 90 days from the date an application seeking approval of such change of control and Regulatory Settlement is filed, and such procedural schedule shall include the provision of notice of the proceedings in accordance with the rules of the Commission.

- 1.4. The terms of this Regulatory Settlement may be voided, at the option of any Party, if the Commission has not issued a final order approving the Regulatory Settlement and the change of control within 120 calendar days from the date an application is filed, said approval being as described in Section 1.2. FairPoint and the Regulatory Parties shall use reasonable efforts to cooperate in the proceedings before the Commission, including in the preparation and timely filing of all required documents, exhibits, testimony and other supporting evidence, provided that neither the OPA nor the Representative shall be required to prepare or present testimony, exhibits or other evidence, the Parties acknowledging that the OPA and the Representative may meet any obligations under this subparagraph by filing a brief or report with the Commission recommending approval of the Regulatory Settlement and the change of control provided for in the Plan, which brief or report shall be included in the record of the proceedings.
- 1.5. Before filing the application, FairPoint will submit a request, which the Regulatory Parties will support, that the Commission waive Section 745 (Voluntary Dismissal) of its Rules of Practice and Procedure and order that FairPoint or the Regulatory Parties may withdraw from any proceeding seeking Commission approval of this Regulatory Settlement or change of control without prejudice and without the need for a Commission order allowing withdrawal if Commission approval has not been obtained within the time frame provided for in Section 1.4. If the request is filed by February 12, 2010 and is not granted by the Commission on or before February 25, 2010, FairPoint in its sole discretion may terminate this Regulatory Settlement.

The Commission, through its Bankruptcy Counsel's signing of this Regulatory Settlement, FairPoint and the Regulatory Parties expressly agree that (i) FairPoint's commencing or participating in any proceeding before the Commission will not be used in any way as an argument against FairPoint in any proceeding before the Bankruptcy Court in which FairPoint would seek a ruling from the Bankruptcy Court that any such Commission approval or Commission proceeding is pre-empted by the Bankruptcy Code, and that (ii) the Regulatory Parties and/or the Commission participating in any proceeding before the Bankruptcy Court, including seeking approval of this Regulatory Settlement or approval of the Plan, will not be used in any way as an argument against the Staff and/or the Commission in any subsequent proceeding before the Bankruptcy Court or that the Regulatory Parties and/or the Commission submitted to the Bankruptcy Court's jurisdiction and that any action by the Regulatory Parties and/or the Commission is pre-empted by the Bankruptcy Code.

- 1.6. The Regulatory Parties will recommend, if requested by FairPoint, that any matters before the Commission to which FairPoint is a party and that are the subject of the terms and conditions of this Regulatory Settlement be temporarily suspended or adjourned pending the Commission's consideration of the approval of the Regulatory Settlement and the change of control provided for under the Plan.
- 2. Issues Related to the Merger Conditions. FairPoint will comply with the Commission's February 1, 2008 Order issued in Docket Nos. 2007-67 and 2005-155 and all stipulations and amended stipulations approved thereby and/or incorporated therein (collectively the "2008 Merger Order"), except as the terms and conditions of the Merger Order are expressly modified by this Regulatory Settlement, provided, however, that with respect to reimbursement claims of third parties arising under the Merger Order, the Regulatory Parties will recommend that this Regulatory Settlement shall not affect the status of such claims under applicable bankruptcy law. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Regulatory Settlement is intended to preclude FairPoint from seeking an order from the Commission amending or modifying the Merger Order in a proceeding other than the

proceeding to approve this Regulatory Settlement and its accompanying change of control proceeding.

2.1 The Commission and FairPoint agree to prepare and submit, and Bank of America, NA, as agent for the senior lenders (the "Agent") shall consent to, a joint consent order to the Bankruptcy Court which provides for implementation of the Service Quality Index ("SQI") rebates for the 2008-2009 SQI year, effective for bills issued on or after March 1, 2010 over a twelve (12) month period. However, if the Commission does not grant the approvals as described in, and in accordance with the process set forth in, Section 1 and if the Bankruptcy Court then, after notice and hearing (the "Injunction Hearing"), subsequently enters an injunction against implementation of and/or provides for reversal or rescission of the rebates for the 2008-2009 SQI year, then any rebates actually implemented by FairPoint pursuant to this provision shall be credited by FairPoint, dollar for dollar, against any subsequent SQI payments that are required to be paid by FairPoint, starting with the first invoices issued after the date of entry of the injunction by the Bankruptcy Court even if an appeal is taken by the Commission or any other party.

At the Injunction Hearing, the Commission and FairPoint may raise any legal or factual arguments in favor of, or in opposition to, such an injunction; provided, however, that the Commission will not raise the argument at the Injunction Hearing that the injunction should not issue because the claim, if any, represented by the rebates is otherwise payable at a specified percentage distribution provided under the Plan. Nothing herein shall be construed as an admission by FairPoint, the Commission or the Agent as to the status of the rebates as a matter of applicable law or as a waiver of any claims or defenses. All appellate rights of FairPoint and the Commission are preserved, including as to any injunction entered as a result of the Injunction Hearing. The Commission shall not, in any proceeding relating to the obligations of FairPoint under the Merger Order, argue that FairPoint's payment of the SQI penalties which became due after the Petition Date constitutes an admission by FairPoint that any of its obligations arising out of the 2008 Merger Order which became due after the Petition Date are entitled to be treated as an administrative expense.

The Parties agree that in effectuating any required SQI rebates in the form of bill credits to subscribers, for the 2008-2009 SQI Year and any subsequent SQI years, the only legend that must be provided in connection with such credits shall be a separate line item on the customer's bill or invoice next to the amount credited stating "Service Quality Rebate." Other than the change in the legend described in the preceding sentence, and subject to the possible offset(s) described above, rebates for the 2009-2010 SQI year and any SQI rebates for subsequent periods shall be implemented in strict accordance with the Merger Order, the AFOR Order and all relevant rules and orders of the Commission existing as of the date of this Regulatory Settlement. The Parties agree however that after the Effective Date, FairPoint may apply to the Commission for a waiver of or modification to such obligations.

- 2.2. Except as set forth below, FairPoint will meet the initial 83% broadband build out commitment scheduled for April 1, 2010 by December 31, 2010. This broadband buildout commitment and the broadband buildout commitments in Section 2.4 and otherwise referred to herein may be met by installation of DSL or any other broadband technology which provides a minimum upload speed of 512 kilobits and download speed of 1.5 megabits per second.
- 2.3. The Regulatory Parties shall recommend that the Commission consider price de-averaging notwithstanding the bar on such consideration during the five-year stay out period and will recommend that the Commission approve de-averaging, provided, however, that FairPoint agrees that (a) de-averaging will commence on January 1, 2011 if FairPoint is not in default under the Regulatory Settlement; and (b) during the two year period after any Commission

1640475 5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The "AFOR Order" means and refers to the 1995 AFOR Order in Docket No. 1994-123

approval of de-averaging becomes effective, FairPoint shall not implement prices for broadband services in Maine that exceed 120% of the prices of FairPoint Telecom Group in Maine (Telecom Group) for equivalent services in FairPoint "classic" or "legacy" service areas. The Regulatory Parties understand and will advocate approval of this provision on the understanding that if FairPoint changes any of Telecom Group's prices during the term of this provision, there will be a corresponding change in the cap imposed by this provision. None of the Regulatory Parties will seek any form of price cap for broadband related services other than the provision set forth in this subparagraph.

- 2.4. FairPoint agrees that during the 5-year period beginning upon closing, FairPoint shall spend not less than the amount required to attain and shall achieve the broadband buildout requirements of 83% by December 31, 2010; 85% by July 31, 2012; and 87% by March 31, 2013. If FairPoint fails to achieve 83% by December 31, 2010, 85% by July 31, 2012 or 87% by March 31, 2013, it shall be required to achieve 90% by no later than March 31, 2014 in accordance with, and subject to, the applicable terms of the Merger Order. FairPoint further agrees that by March 31, 2013 it will achieve 82% for lines in UNE Zone 3. If FairPoint achieves the broadband build out requirements of up to 87%, as set forth in Section 2.4, on July 1, 2013 FairPoint will contribute \$100,000 to Connect ME. Until FairPoint achieves all of the requirements of this paragraph, FairPoint shall file quarterly reports with the Commission regarding its broadband buildout activities containing the type of information required of Verizon under Section 3 of the Amended Stipulation of August 8, 2007 in Docket No. 2005-155. FairPoint shall inform the Commission in writing of its determination that all of the requirements in this paragraph have been met. Nothing herein shall prejudice the Commission with respect to a challenge to such determination and the Commission may, in its discretion, conduct proceedings to determine if, in fact, such requirements have been met.
- 2.5. FairPoint will have the option to resell terrestrial (non-satellite) based service providers' broadband service offerings to fulfill its broadband build out and/or service requirements as contained in the 2008 Merger Order, as herein modified, for the broadband buildout requirements beyond 85% provided that (a) the build out and/or services meet or exceed all requirements of the 2008 Merger Order as herein modified; (b) the resold services are purchased through and serviced by FairPoint; and (c) the Commission Staff, on delegated authority, approves the service provider(s) selected by FairPoint. The Commission Staff's approval process shall require that, upon written submission by FairPoint of the identity of the provider(s) the precise build out obligations and services to be provided by such provider and information regarding the capabilities and qualifications of the provider(s), (1) approval may not be unreasonably withheld by the Commission Staff, and (2) if the selection by FairPoint of a service provider(s) is not denied by Commission Staff within 30 calendar days of the date of the written submission by FairPoint, the selection by FairPoint shall automatically be approved.
- 3. The Representative will recommend that the Commission find that the financial conditions set forth in the Merger Order have been replaced by the terms of this Regulatory Settlement, satisfied, or have been otherwise rendered moot due to the deleveraging achieved through the Chapter 11 process. The Regulatory Parties will recommend that the Commission not impose affirmative or negative financial covenants in addition to those imposed in any loan or credit agreements or related documents executed by FairPoint in connection with the Plan, provided that such agreements are supplied to the Commission upon execution, as well as any subsequent amendments to such agreements or related documents. The Representative will recommend that such financial covenants not be considered separate financial covenants enforceable as such by the Commission, provided, however, that nothing herein shall limit the Commission's ability to investigate, at any time, the financial condition of FairPoint and to take action with respect thereto in accordance with Maine law and the rules and procedures of the Commission. FairPoint will inform the Commission, subject to a protective order reasonably acceptable to FairPoint to be entered in the change of control proceeding, of any substantive defaults under such loan or credit agreements, which defaults have

Execution Version for all Regulatory Parties, Including the OPA and FairPoint

not been cured within any cure period provided under such agreements or waived by the applicable lender.

## 4. Miscellaneous Matters

- 4.1. FairPoint agrees to continue its search for a Chief Information Officer with a goal of having a Chief Information Officer in place by June 30, 2010.
- 4.2. The Plan shall provide for the appointment of a new Board of Directors for reorganized FairPoint consisting of a supermajority of newly appointed independent directors. The Plan shall further provide that the new Board of Directors will appoint a "regulatory sub-committee" (the "Regulatory Sub-Committee") which shall be charged with monitoring compliance with the 2008 Merger Order, as modified by this Regulatory Settlement, and all other regulatory matters involving the States of Vermont, New Hampshire and Maine. Either the Lead Director or the Independent Chair of the Board of Directors, at FairPoint's option, shall be available to voluntarily speak or meet with the Regulatory Parties at their reasonable request as appropriate and lawful.
- 4.3. Subject to the confidentiality provisions of the Bankruptcy Court's mediation order and, with respect to any financial advisors hired by the OPA or the Representative, if any, a confidentiality agreement deemed suitable by the Parties, FairPoint has provided the Regulatory Parties, their counsel and their financial advisors who have signed a confidentiality agreement with the Company's four-year business plan and supporting material that has been provided to major constituencies and parties-in-interest to the bankruptcy proceeding. The business plan and related proforma financials reflect operations over a four year planning period. The OPA's obligation to recommend the Regulatory Settlement is subject to the OPA's and the OPA's advisors' review of such information and their reasonable determination that such information supports the assumptions underlying the Regulatory Settlement, which review by the OPA shall be completed within thirty (45) days of the date hereof.
- 4.4. Upon the Effective Date of the Plan, FairPoint shall reimburse the Commission and the Maine Office of the Public Advocate for all of its actual reasonable out-of-pocket expenses and costs in connection with FairPoint's chapter 11 case, including without limitation, the reasonable fees and expenses of all professionals, including legal and financial advisors retained by the Regulatory Parties in connection with the chapter 11 cases or any proceeding before the Commission to approve the Regulatory Settlement and change in control provided under the Plan, plus any other direct costs reimbursable by FairPoint under applicable Maine law.
- 4.5. At least one board member of the revised FairPoint board will reside in a northern New England
- 4.6. FairPoint shall not agree to or accept any term in a proposed settlement with the Staff of the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission, the New Hampshire Office of Consumer Advocate, or the Vermont Department of Public Service pertaining to the Plan or, if applicable, to any related approval for a change in control without offering the same term to the Regulatory Parties and/or in connection with the Regulatory Settlement, a change in control, or the approval of either by the Commission. FairPoint only shall be required to offer such term(s) to the Regulatory Parties in the event that such term(s) represent a material difference in the benefits of this Regulatory Settlement, on one hand, and the Regulatory Settlement effectuated in the jurisdiction of New Hampshire or Vermont (as the case may be), on the other hand, considering each such Regulatory Settlement in the aggregate. If FairPoint enters into a voluntary amendment to the Vermont or New Hampshire Regulatory Settlements, FairPoint will within one (1) business day provide a copy of the signed amendment to the Regulatory Parties.

## Execution Version for all Regulatory Parties, including the OPA and FairPoint

- 4.7. Any management bonuses shall be based on a combination of EBITDAR (EBITDA plus restructuring costs) and service metrics and the weighting for each of these categories shall be computed and clearly stated for the incentive and bonus plans for each individual and for the company in total. Once established, FairPoint shall disclose such service metrics to the OPA and the Commission.
- 4.8. Subject to the terms set forth herein, the signatories agree to support this Regulatory Settlement and not to take any action in any forum or jurisdiction that would contradict or diverge from the terms set forth in this Regulatory Settlement for so long as this Regulatory Settlement is in force, unless the Regulatory Settlement is voided under Section 1.4 hereof or if any Party withdraws under Section 1.5.

This Regulatory Settlement has been duly executed as of this 9th day of February 2010.

FAIRPOINT COMMUNICATIONS, INC. NORTHERN NEW ENGLAND TELEPHONE OPERATIONS LLC

> Peter G. Nixon President

Amy Spelke, Representative of the Maine Public Utilities Commission

Robert S. Keach, on behalf of the Maine Public Utilities Commission and only with respect to the reservation of rights set forth in Section 1.5, as specified in such Section 1.5

Execution Version for all Regulatory Parties, including the OPA and FalrPoint

- 4.7. Any management bonuses shall be based on a combination of EBITDAR (EBITDA plus restructuring costs) and service metrics and the weighting for each of these categories shall be computed and clearly stated for the incentive and bonus plans for each individual and for the company in total. Once established, FairPoint shall disclose such service metrics to the OPA and the Commission.
- 4.8. Subject to the terms set forth herein, the signatories agree to support this Regulatory Settlement and not to take any action in any forum or jurisdiction that would contradict or diverge from the terms set forth in this Regulatory Settlement for so long as this Regulatory Settlement is in force, unless the Regulatory Settlement is voided under Section 1.4 hereof or if any Party withdraws under Section 1.5.

This Regulatory Selllement has been duly executed as of this 9th day of February 2010.

FAIRPOINT COMMUNICATIONS, INC. NORTHERN NEW ENGLAND TELEPHONE OPERATIONS LLC

Peter G. Nixon

President

Amy Spelke Representative of the Maine Public Utilities Commission.

Robert J. Keech, on behalf of the Maine Public Utilities Commission and only with respect to the reservation of rights set forth in Section 1.5, as specified in such Section 1.5

Execution Version for all Regulatory Parties, including the OPA and FairPoint

MAINE OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC ADVOCATE

Name:

PINBLIC ADVOCATE

FEB. 10,2010